

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308780755>

# An Open Letter Regarding Cancer

Article · October 2016

CITATIONS

0

READS

645

2 authors:



**Rich Norman**

Mind magazine/the Journal of Unconscious Psychology/Scientific Advisor Thunder E...

50 PUBLICATIONS 68 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**J. Dunning-Davies**

University of Hull

194 PUBLICATIONS 333 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Classical mechanics without the erroneous separate conservation of angular and linear momentum [View project](#)



Explaining variable inertial mass mechanically and electromagnetically [View project](#)

## **An Open Letter Regarding Cancer**

Richard Lawrence Norman, Editor in chief, *Mind magazine Journal of Unconscious Psychology*  
[editor@thejournalofunconsciouspsychology.com](mailto:editor@thejournalofunconsciouspsychology.com)

Jeremy Dunning-Davies, Departments of Mathematics and Physics (retired), University of Hull,  
England; Institute for Basic Research, Palm Harbor, Florida, USA.  
[j.dunning-davies@hull.ac.uk](mailto:j.dunning-davies@hull.ac.uk)

### **Abstract:**

This brief document is intended to bring a potentially important approach to cancer and other diseases to the reader's attention. It may well be the case that unfortunate circumstances within the sciences have kept hidden an important approach to disease. We hope to bring this information to the fore, and suggest that cancer and other diseases may share a common yet unacknowledged mechanism of pathological replication. If this is so, inexpensive and safe modes of therapy may be researched and then brought to fruition. It is our intention to alert others who are in a position to advance the work, so as to augment needful progress.

### **Introduction:**

Our research has indicated a disturbing and potentially earthshaking possibility which must be investigated: *Cancer and a host of other diseases may be curable using non-invasive methodology.* This seemingly impossible statement may be true, and warrants investigation. We will briefly articulate some small part of the history and provide current substantiation which will make clear that the approach is valid and functional. There is a historical pattern which can be observed and must be recognized and overcome if the situation is to be rectified. It is our hope that an independent laboratory with open minded scientists who are not beholden to corporate interests may find reason to look into this idea and develop the human potential which has remained concealed for too long.

Modern methods to combat diseases such as cancer are based on the medical models which science has accepted. Those medical models generally do not acknowledge the role of electromagnetic fields and the informational physics with which said fields are associated. Highly complex and intricate treatments are created, often with toxic risks, and inch by inch, difficult and incremental scientific progress is won. It may be possible to alter the model through which disease is understood and find a single locus around which stubborn diseases such as cancer might be approached, and cured. To do this, we must acknowledge not just medicine, but history and physics as well.

Perhaps you have heard a 'rumor,' a 'myth,' which seems to indicate that fields can be tuned to corresponding cellular frequencies so as to affect and destroy ONLY disease related organisms, while being harmless to healthy tissues allowing the cure of disease without toxic damage. One is sure to be attacked as a fool for even mentioning the possibility, and one risks one's reputation to say such a thing aloud.

As open mention of this approach itself is forbidden, we will begin with some proof. Do review the science of Anthony Holland:

[ [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anthony\\_Holland2/publications](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anthony_Holland2/publications)

<http://www.wakingtimes.com/2016/02/05/breakthrough-discovery-shows-that-resonant-frequencies-can-kill-cancer-cells/>

<https://www.gatewaycr.org/gateway-blog/posts/2015/october/shattering-cancer-with-resonant-frequencies/>

<http://novobiotronics.com/Cancer1.html>

<http://novobiotronics.com/CancerResults3.html>

<http://novobiotronics.com/CancerResults4.html>

<http://novobiotronics.com/CancerResults5.html>

<http://novobiotronics.com/CancerResults6.html> ].

Observe how only cells targeted at a specific frequency die. Observe how the frequency once obtained, isolates the deadly effects specifically to the organisms targeted. As you can see, this is not myth or fiction. Using frequency to target specific pathogenic organisms is effective. However, there is more to consider. Now that we have demonstrated that the effect is viable, and the ‘myth’ is no myth at all, we will spell out some of the history, tie it to the present circumstance and offer up specific, if speculative, ideas on how to quickly and cheaply advance toward a cure. Perhaps massive expenditure and profit are not needed to cure disease, and we need but take a different approach which spans both physics and biology. Anthony Holland’s approach is promising, but further information is required.

### **The Science of Rife and its Suppression:**

Anthony Holland is attacking the cancer cells themselves to affect cure. We believe a slightly different approach is needed. To find that it will be helpful to understand some of the history which has led to the present situation. As will become clear in the section which follows afterward, the notion of pleomorphism need not be taken in the strict sense of the term, and can be usefully defined in a new and specific way. Those readers who wish to see the upshot, may skip this section and read the ones which follow, particularly the section titled “The Upshot.”

Reputation is almost akin to truth itself in science. Science is no different from any other human enterprise, and it is affected by human frailty and hubris. There is a paradigm known as pleomorphism which has been rejected. Disease processes are sustained by way of transformations in biological structures such as cell types which

are, therefore, themselves *processes*. Pleomorphism is defined as: The assumption of various distinct forms by a single organism or species. (Dorland's *Illustrated Medical Dictionary*). However, orthodox theory is monomorphic, and does not acknowledge this long observed notion of transformative biological processes.

Many deduced pleomorphism to be valid long ago. Pierre Bechamp was one of them. Louis Pasteur had staked his reputation on the converse view. Bechamp deduced after years of detailed study, that bacteria could change form. Rod like structures for instance, could become spheroidal but, even further, he noted that the size of these organisms could also vary and devolve into smaller organisms, which were unseen, that he called *microzymas*. This point is crucial. However, Pasteur's reputation was great and Bechamp, whose work was later proven correct, was soundly crushed and his ideas excluded from accepted practice. The paradigm science laboured under for much of the 20th century was thereby hobbled. Thomas Rivers of the Rockefeller Institute derived technical scientific distinction regarding the reproduction of a virus which, although false, cemented his lauded place in the discipline of virology. He introduced the notion that a virus requires *a natural cell* in which to reproduce. His aggressive personality and great monetary resources made him impossible to disagree with, although he was wrong. Dr. Arthur Kendall was unable to defeat Rivers's powerful reputation and formidable personality, but did prove himself scientifically correct by culturing virus strains in an artificial "K Medium" of his own design, and he provided assistance to Royal Rife. Rife, would demonstrate the correctness of the rejected pleomorphic paradigm, and prove over and over that *filter-passing organisms*, meaning very tiny pathogens which are able to pass through filters and may cause full blown disease such as cancer, could be derived from cancer tumors. Rife, would soon discover the impossibly small "invisible" cause of cancer, and allow its direct observation *in a living state* with a new type of microscope, which is still unequalled today, and also uncover the lethal frequencies to apply using a specific new instrument to devitalize the tiny bug which kills man. For this, he would be personally ruined and his work suppressed, at unimaginable human cost.

The apparent cure for cancer and its suppression are an American tragedy which is ongoing. There is a pattern which can be observed repeatedly. Once Kendall and Rife filtered and saw the tiny pleomorphic form of typhus, and proved that this could be done, Milbank Johnson, a prominent and influential physician, USC professor of physiology and clinical medicine and Chairman of the Special Research Committee arranged a gathering of thirty top scientists on November 20th 1931 to announce the fact, as was reported by the Los Angeles Times on November 22nd 1931. On December 27th of 1931, The Los Angeles Times reported that Rife had demonstrated his microscope to over 250 scientists. Soon Kendall was asked to speak before the Association of American Physicians on May 3rd and 4th 1932. It was here, that Rivers and his supporter Zinsser, whose *Bacteriology* is still used in an updated version today, struck. The attack was verbose and unscientific yet effective in discrediting the correct work of Kendall and Rife, which contradicted their own views. Dr. William Welch an esteemed authority in bacteriology rose to Kendall's defence stating

“Kendall’s observation marks a distinct advance in medicine,” and in August of 1932 *Science* magazine itself published a report on Rife’s microscope stating, “There can be no question of the filterable turquoise blue bodies described by Kendall. . . examination under the Rife microscope of specimens...leaves no doubt of the accurate visualization...” but the damage was done. Rivers and Zinsser had the weight of reputation and power. Here, in a first peripheral way, we see the same ever increasing pattern which will be applied again and again: incorrect science, power, reputation and money destroy good men, tarnish their reputations, corrupt good science and suppress the truth.”

In 1932 Rife found the cancer virus. In 1934, he would cure cancer in humans using his frequency instrument. Rife began using Kendall’s K Medium in 1931 to attempt the isolation of the cancer virus from breast tumors. The medium and microscope in this case were not enough but a fortunate accident whereby he irradiated a sample inadvertently proved to be a decisive advantage, allowing the virus to be visualized. The incredibly small and virtually invisible structure had a breadth of one twentieth of a micron, and showed up under the microscope as purple/red in color. The experiment was then repeated 104 times, and thus confirmed. Four distinct forms were observed, distinct forms of the same organism. That organism, the filter passing “BX” as he called it, could reliably produce cancer in laboratory animals, as was repeated 300 times. This same organism could be transformed, depending upon the conditions and media used, into different structures found in cancer patients, a fungus, or as was later shown into bacillus coli! Pleomorphism was correct. Next he painstakingly determined the Mortal Oscillatory Rate to which the BX was attuned, and used the frequency instrument to destroy the BX. He then inoculated no less than 400 animals with filtered BX preparations, created tumors and cured those animals *over 400 times*, before attempting the first human case. Rife, was a careful and meticulous scientist.

In 1934, Dr. Milbank Johnson again gave steadfast support and was instrumental in opening the clinic in La Jolla where cancer would be first cured in the human animal. To minimize the accumulation of toxins from the dead pathogens, it was soon learned the treatment was best used for only three minutes and given at three day intervals. Of the 16 “hopeless” cases, 14 were cured in three months. No rise in body temperature or discomfort was reported. Dr. Alvin Foord oversaw the project as chief pathologist. Chief Surgeon Whalen Morrison, George C. Dock MD, George Fisher MD, Dr. Kendall, Dr. Zite, professor of pathology at Chicago University, and Rufus B. Von Klein Schmidt President of the University of Southern California were on staff, and, Dr. Couche and Karl Meyer PhD, head of the department of bacteriological research at the Hooper Foundation, were present. Dr. Koops of the Metabolic Clinic in La Jolla signed all 14 reports and knew of the tests from his personal observation. In 1934, Royal Raymond Rife had cured cancer.

This was not an isolated fluke. Other clinics were soon opened, and more people were cured. Dr. Couche opened a clinic and although surviving records are scant, it is clear

that three patients were cured of cancer. Dr. Johnson opened a clinic of his own to reports of more success treating various conditions. Later in 1939, Dr. Richard Hamer of the Paradise Valley Sanatorium rented a frequency instrument, and proved himself adept in its use. A very organized and careful practitioner, he often treated as many as 40 patients a day, and achieved stunning results in his National City clinic, clearing up and curing a great many conditions. Between 1934 and 1939 a great deal of hope was brought to fruition, and unfortunately... someone noticed.

### **The suppression and destruction of Royal Raymond Rife—reputation, greed and our scientific dark age.**

The case of an 82 year old man successfully treated by Dr. Hamer reached the powerful head of the AMA in Chicago: Morris Fishbein. The single dominant force in the AMA at that time, he was belligerent, ruthless and it is to be plainly noted that he never practiced medicine as an MD for even a single day in his life past his residency. His was a smothering and potent personality, revealing him as a political animal of the first order.

In 1939 Fishbein sent a fateful message through the California branch of the AMA seeking to buy into Rife's work and his new company, Beam Ray. Rife refused. This was the start of the end. In analyzing this supreme error, we can learn much. Rife worked with Hoyland, a self-interested and arrogant man who thought himself above his partners. He and Fishbein gathered forces. Hoyland was provided high priced lawyers. Hoyland would own Beam Ray, and Fishbein could then buy in. To refuse Fishbein, was a tragic error. When the devil knocks there is little choice but to let him in, lest he burn the house to the ground.

Rife was a patient, genteel and kind man, an intellectual, engineer and scientist who was about to enter an ugly bully's world of money, brutal assault, cruelty and blatant injustice: the American legal system. Cancer he had cured, but politics? This would soon metastasize and consume him. The opposing lawyer cut into him and attacked him. Rife was unprepared, and although the case was settled in due course in Rife's favor, the experience destroyed him on a human level...his nerves gave way. He was so deeply affected, that a doctor he trusted advised he take up strong drink, at once. This began his deterioration into alcoholism, and depression. Beam Ray would be ruined, Rife shattered and the cure for cancer snuffed out. What Fishbein could not own, he would destroy. That was that!

In a strange pair of "coincidences" the only other lab making substantial progress in the field, that of J. C. Burnett, a \$250,000 lab in 1929 dollars with \$500,000 invested in research and the many careful records within it, were all burned to the ground as its owner visited Rife later that year. Rife's staunch supporter Dr. Milbank Johnson would die under suspicious circumstances a few years later, perhaps poisoned according to federal inspectors, all directly before it appeared he was to

announce an important breakthrough in the cure of cancer in 1944, after which his records and those of his Special Research Committee mysteriously...”vanished.” Throughout this period, and afterward in the case of Rife’s new partner John Crane, records and equipment were illegally seized and destroyed, and doctors intimidated into giving up the search. Dr. Couche continued the work anyway, and had his membership revoked by the AMA. Publication of one article escaped the censorship and can be seen here, as represented in the Smithsonian Annual Report, of 1944.

<http://www.rife.org/magazine/smithsonian.html>

Soon after its publication the report’s author Dr. Raymond Seidel was shot at, a bullet slamming through his windshield as he was driving.

In the Smithsonian Annual Report of 1944 we read:

“. . . disease organisms such as those of tuberculosis, cancer, sarcoma, streptococcus, typhoid, staphylococcus, leprosy, hoof and mouth disease, and others may be observed to succumb when exposed to certain lethal frequencies peculiar to each individual organism . . .”

Dr. Royal Lee of the Lee Foundation for Nutritional Research in Milwaukee spent time with Royal Rife and commented as follows:

“No medical journal was ever permitted to report on Rife’s work. This one by the Franklin Institute [reprinted in the Smithsonian report] slipped by the censors, since this organization is not medical but supports general scientific activities. But that mistake was soon rectified, it appears, as there is still no general knowledge of Rife’s epoch-making discoveries. Again, the iron curtain of Fishbein is effective. . . . We can give a list of various subjects on which this censorship is rigorously applied. Only the treatment of disease with synthetic drugs is carefully reported. Botanicals are played down, food as remedies are almost as taboo as Rife’s work. . . the official definition of a medical remedy for disease. . . excludes automatically any vitamin, nutritional mineral or enzyme. . .”

Was there a cover up? Do examine this video presentation of Rife’s laboratory. Observe the massive investment and many pieces of advanced custom equipment Rife created. Observe his highly advanced work and surgical technique. Was there a cover up? Ask yourself, what happened to all of this? It appears to have vanished...without a trace.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fynOk-Yldts>

Cancer has been cured since 1934, and no one knows it. Fishbein has won. When it is understood how quickly radiation machines were sold to hospitals, often with deadly result, the scope of the loss of proper testing, commercial availability and

distribution of Rife's work becomes clear. Painless, three minute treatments with no side effects. Cancer has been cured. No one knows. *Is this true?* Surely, for humanity's sake, the truth surrounding all this must come out!

### **The current situation and other previous substantiation.**

Not surprisingly, the evidence of these facts "inexplicably" keeps showing up over and over in new work, and its imprint can be located also in history. Rife has of course, been publicly humiliated, misrepresented, ignored and discredited, although he was correct. His work is called a "myth." But the fact will not rest, for it is the fact. These..."mysteries"...abound. The cowardly suppressive reactions to them are also in evidence.

Rous had long ago discovered a cancer virus for which he would all too belatedly receive a Nobel Prize. In 1948 Dr. Virginia Livingston-Wheeler began studying tumors in which she then found the same organism. She came across the work of Dr. Eleanor Alexander-Jackson who demonstrated that tubercle bacillus went through many changes, (as Kendall, Rosenow and Rife had shown already in the 30s, a fact which had been forgotten or, more probably, deliberately ignored).

Livingston-Wheeler found the extraordinary pleomorphism intriguing and wondered if cancer behaved pleomorphically. Her paper which confirmed the fact was published in 1948 in *The New York Microscopical Society Bulletin*. It concluded as follows:

"In conclusion it may be stated that a definite mycobacterium is observed in many kinds of tumors. Its presence within the tumor cells as well as within the blood of the patients suffering with the disease can be demonstrated." Livingston-Wheeler and Alexander-Jackson had demonstrated that Rous had found a virus which was *in actuality a pleomorphic bacterium*.

Livingston-Wheeler's assertions of pleomorphism were confirmed in 1950 by Dr. James Hillman of RCA labs in Princeton NJ. via electron microscopy, whose observations gave confirmation of the filtered form.

Dr. Irene Diller of the Institute for Cancer Research in Philadelphia had isolated fungus from cancerous growths in animals. Here again, was the work of Rife and Gruner, which demonstrated the changeability, the pleomorphic alterations and transformations between the BX, and a fungus. In December of 1950, *The American Journal of Medical Sciences* published Livingston-Wheeler's paper detailing how cancer cultures taken from humans and also from animals caused cancer in animal tests. Then, new cultures proved that cancer could be caused by a form of bacterium! The hurtful dismissal of this claim by Rivers and the rest, had been shown...wrong. Naturally, her discovery had all but no influence upon the situation. The cancer

industry and orthodox scientific establishment had its own plans.

In 1953 Dr. Diller published her own discovery of confirmation of the transformation between fungus, and the cancer microbe: *Studies of Fungoid Forms Found in Malignancy*. *The Washington Post* Sep. 9, 1953 reported from Rome of their findings and ideas that: "An American research group today pictured cancer as an infectious disease. . . . 'Cancer does not consist of a localized tumor alone.' Instead, they pictured it as a generalized disease caused by an organism in the human bloodstream."

When the scientists returned home to their lab, they discovered that Dr. Rhodes of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center had shut down their work and stopped funds for the Rutgers-Presbyterian Hospital lab. The lab was shut down! Like Rife, Alexander-Jackson and Livingston-Wheeler found that their work was now...nothing. Efforts to produce a vaccine, were simply not acceptable. Surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, these ideas, *only these*, have merit and deserve funding. Inexpensive cure was not in the cards. A new approach was not acceptable. Money. Reputation. This is modern medical practice and research. This...is tragedy.

In 1983, Livingston-Wheeler wrote in her book, *The Conquest of Cancer*:

"Because of the suppressive actions of the American Cancer Society, the American Medical Association and the Food and Drug Administration, our people have not had the advantage of the European research.

This work has been ignored because certain powerful individuals backed by large monetary grants can become the dictators of research and suppress all work that does not promote their interest or that may present a threat to their prestige."

She demonstrated that cancer is not as accepted orthodox science proposes. In the New York Academy of Sciences report from October 30 1970 we read:

"Microorganisms of various sorts have been observed and isolated from animal and human tumors, involving viruses, bacteria, and fungi. There is, however, one specific type of highly pleomorphic microorganism that has been observed and isolated consistently by us from human and animal malignancies of every obtainable variety for the past twenty years. . . that organism has remained an unclassified mystery, due in part to its remarkable pleomorphism and its stimulation of other microorganisms. Its various phases may resemble viruses, micrococci, diptheroids, bacilli, and fungi."

Today a music professor turned cancer researcher named Anthony Holland is shattering cancer cells with targeted frequencies. He was inspired by the work of Rife. His approach is aimed at the cancer cells themselves. Will he succeed? Will the cells cause

toxic shock in the body to be shattered? Does the source pathogen, the pleomorphic BX need to be targeted? Let us look to this fine man and see if he can find for us the answers.

Now Luc Montagnier, the scientist who had won a Nobel Prize for his discovery of the AIDS virus, has found some strange effects whereby filtered and presumably sterile preparations appear to reconstitute disease related organisms in cultures, and hypothesized with good supporting evidence the existence of aqueous nanostructures of a mysterious sort, offering us also in other work a glimpse into the quantum aspects of what possibly appears to be the mechanics of pleomorphic transformations. Naturally, he is accused of poor lab technique and contamination in his experiments. Do you believe this Nobel Prize winner cannot prepare a proper experiment? What is happening here? Why not look into the mysterious aqueous nanostructures, so akin to the BX or perhaps some quantum informational part of pleomorphic processes? Instead, his reputation is tarnished and insults abound. However, if he is right, many diseases, not just cancer but perhaps Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, Multiple Sclerosis, Rheumatoid Arthritis and a great many more may be treatable, or even curable. Does this seem familiar? Can you see it? We must discover if this is true.

It should be remembered also that dismissal of the pioneering work of Rife is not confined solely to America. On the web page of Cancer Research UK, reference is found to Rife and his work but most of the statements contained therein are erroneous. Firstly, and possibly most importantly, Rife's work and all his results were based on the use of his *own* microscope and his *own* Frequency Instrument – not on the so-called Rife Ray machines on sale today. Again it should be noted that all the talk on this site refers to the Rife machine destroying cancer cells but, in truth, the original claim of Rife was that his machine enabled him to destroy the microbe which caused the production of cancer cells and, having destroyed the microbe, the cells eventually returned to their original healthy state. They also claim that the Rife approach has not been through the usual process of scientific testing and in a sense this is true but, as shown above, such a statement is nowhere near the real truth. Rife's approach was meticulously tested and was open to further, more public testing but this was maliciously denied and the supporting evidence suppressed so that his work is now largely forgotten.

In 2007, in the book *Exploding a Myth*, the whole question of the existence and influence of these same destructive influences operating in the discipline of physics was explored through several examples. The restrictions placed on true open minded original thought were noted and it was pointed out that, while such problems in pure physics might not concern many people, if such restrictions did occur in physics then they would occur in other branches of science and, if the branch involved was medicine, then it was putting peoples' lives at stake. It is tragic to reflect that the facts outlined above indicate the correctness of that earlier prophesy.

Recent ideas seem to indicate that it might be possible for drugs, so toxic and profitable, to be replaced with safe quantum information. Is there any real value in this idea? Can the multitude of horrible diseases be cured by spotting the common pleomorphic mechanics of their replication, and addressing the problem with inexpensive painless treatments? Can we redo today what Rife apparently accomplished so long ago? These are the questions of the future.

Our research has unearthed a distinct and disturbing possibility:

"Cancer has been cured since 1934."

For the sake of humanity we ask aloud:

***Is this true?***

This section owes a clear and substantial debt to Barry Lynes' excellent book: *The Cancer Cure That Worked*.

**From Nobel Prize to the future of medicine: the Courage of Luc Montagnier:**

Are Montagnier's experiments contaminated? Just as Rife, he is attacked and smeared with insults.

Montagnier has demonstrated the informational aspects which sustain disease. It seems from our analysis that the cure for many diseases, from cancer to Alzheimer's and a great many more, may be found here. Just as in the case of Rife, the effect of this vital discovery was to isolate Montagnier from the funds and means he needs to advance, while he is heaped with scorn, ridicule and rude insult. Montagnier's revolutionary work is criticized on two counts:

1. It is said not to be repeatable in any other lab.
2. It is said to be a false result due to contamination.

Montagnier answered these criticisms in such a certain and clear way as to leave the matter beyond dispute. He had invited an independent film crew from the media to record the experiment and watch each detail. [Montagnier Video](#). He extracted the electromagnetic signature of a particular piece of DNA and sent that as binary information over the internet in excess of 1000 kilometres then had another *independent lab* in Italy receive the information and instantiate it into water memory via a simple electromagnetic process. Electromagnetic informational transfer is also the same way the bodily system works, in our understanding. The stunning result is clear and undeniable: he was exactly correct. The information once added to the test tube of pure water over 1000 kilometres distant, reproduced via water memory the exact encoding within DNA which was then synthesized via PCR, even though there was *no DNA template in the*

water! Information alone, once placed via an EM field into water memory created a piece of DNA and reproduced the encoding with an accuracy of 98 percent from raw PCR ingredients! Electromagnetic fields can be informationally encoded and those fields affect aqueous systems, which receive the encoded information and interact with chemicals and biological structures to create the form specified. Biology is based in physics, and physics is based in information. The film crew's presence assures us there was no trickery, the second independent lab doing the PCR synthesis from water over 1000 kilometres distant assures us of the experiment's verification at another facility, and most importantly *precludes any possibility of contamination*. Of course, the proof made no difference. Scientific orthodoxy simply turned up the insults. Now you may know with certainty that, however well educated, those who discount Montagnier are shallow.

If science were to look here, what might happen? Imagine it. If this work were funded and closely investigated, we may soon have solved the riddle of the informational instruction set which creates DNA to sustain disease processes or health. That means two things:

1. A disease may be diagnosed in moments with a non-invasive scan.
2. A field may be applied to alter faulty encoding with correct patterning.

This is the *eventual potential*. Any disease which demonstrates resonance should be treatable and diagnosed in this way. As with the science of Rife, a resonant approach to disease and health is indicated. Here is found the common process basis of many diseases! There is a simple process nexus which may allow the informational alteration of fundamental disease dynamics without recourse to drugs, high priced treatments, or invasive techniques. In Electromagnetic Signals Are Produced by Aqueous Nanostructures Derived from Bacterial DNA Sequences Montagnier and his collaborators state, "we have detected the same EMS in the plasma and in the DNA extracted from the plasma of patients suffering from Alzheimer, Parkinson disease, multiple Sclerosis and Rheumatoid Arthritis. . . . Moreover, EMS can be detected also from RNA viruses, such as HIV, influenza virus A, Hepatitis C Virus." [In this latter case after 20 nM filtration]. As I have stated, a great many diseases share the same mechanism of reproduction, and so may all be treatable and diagnosable in one simple way. Field effects, as Rife found long ago, may well hold the future of medical practice. Imagine a hand-held device which scans, finds resonant aspects of specific disease and after diagnosis, instantiates healthy patterning into the bodily system via an informationally encoded field, without the use of drugs. This could be our future.

### **The Upshot:**

The result of all of this is clear: ***specific research must be conducted using filtered preparations created from disease organisms such as cancer***. That way the ***source pathogen*** may be attacked, and toxic shock will not kill the patients. Those preparations, must be exposed to radiation as in Rife's experimental protocols, or dilution and em field analysis so as to extract an em signature as with Montagnier. In the former case, the organisms must be cultured in an artificial medium to isolate photonic expression. It seems from the work of Montagnier that the mechanics of what appear to be 'pleomorphic' transformations, are those of quantum information affecting biological

morphology and structure through aqueous systems. [Here is some further evidence of that effect: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/wjns.2016.63024>]

Unfortunately, Rife's microscope was key to the method, and none is available. Reading informs us that the microscope and the frequency instrument use the same principle. One must discover how to obtain the correct frequency for the correct pathogen without it. Of course one can 'shotgun', but there may be a better method. We speculate:

- a. A filtered preparation is created from cancer or some other disease.
- b. It is perhaps irradiated, and cultured in an artificial medium, so as to isolate the biophotonic expression of the pathogen.
- c. The frequency of the biophotons is obtained.
- d. Rife's microscope used heterodyne and resultant beat frequency to bring living specimens stained in UV light into the visible range.
- e. A frequency is derived to combine with the biophoton frequency, and create within the organism a beat frequency to destabilize its phase and internal coherence.

It is of course unknown if this speculation is valid. Naturally, we have a great many ideas to offer which may advance the science. Please feel free to contact us. We will share all information freely, and hope to advance the work in whatever way is available to us. There is a great deal of information, so, if you have facilities to create filtered preparations, let us make contact and advance this work. None of us as individuals or our 'reputations' means very much compared to the possibility of a real cure. Let us do the uncommon thing and *work together*, in order to bring the better future of our race to light.

Thank you.

Rich Norman and Jeremy Dunning-Davies.

### **Suggested Reading:**

Dunning-Davies, J., and Norman, R. L. (2016) *Beyond the Veil: Deception Truth and the Hidden promise of Science*, Standing Dead Publications, O'Brien, OR.

ISBN: 978-0-9845693-7-3

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307508001\\_Beyond\\_the\\_Veil](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307508001_Beyond_the_Veil)

Norman, R.L., Dunning-Davies, J., Heredia-Rojas, J.A. and Foletti, A. (2016) Quantum Information Medicine: Bit as It—The Future Direction of Medical Science: Antimicrobial and Other Potential Nontoxic Treatments.

*World Journal of Neuroscience*, 6, 193-207. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/wjns.2016.63024>

J. Dunning-Davies; *Exploding a Myth*, Horwood, Chichester, 2007.

Montagnier, L., Aissa, J., Del Giudice, E., Lavallee, C., Tedeschi, A. and Vitiello, G. (2011) DNA Waves and Water. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 306, 012007.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/306/1/012007>

Electromagnetic Signals Are Produced by Aqueous Nanostructures Derived from Bacterial DNA Sequences

Bechamp, A. (2002) *The Blood and its Third Element*. Metropolis Ink. Metropolisink.com

Del Giudice, E., Tedeschi, A., Vitiello, G. and Voeikov, V. (2013) Coherent Structures in Liquid Water Close to Hydrophilic Surfaces. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 442, 012028.  
<http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/442/1/012028>  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/442/1/012028>

Foletti, A.; Ledda, M.; D'Emilia, E.; Grimaldi, S.; Lisi, A. Experimental finding on the electromagnetic information transfer of specific molecular signals mediated through aqueous system on two human cellular models. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* **2012**, 18(3): 258-261. doi:10.1089/acm.2011.0104  
<http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/abs/10.1089/acm.2011.0104?src=recsys&journalCode=acm>

Foletti, A.; Lisi, A.; Ledda, M.; De Carlo, F.; Grimaldi, S. Cellular ELF signals as a possible tool in informative medicine. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* **2009**, 28(1): 71-79  
DOI: 10.1080/15368370802708801  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19337897>

Foletti, A.; Ledda, M.; D'Emilia, E.; Grimaldi, S.; Lisi, A. Differentiation of Human LAN-5 Neuroblastoma Cells Induced by Extremely Low Frequency Electronically Transmitted Retinoic Acid. *J Altern Complement Med.* **2011**, 17(8): 701-704. doi: 10.1089/acm.2010.0439  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21721927>

Foletti, A.; Grimaldi, S.; Lisi, A.; Ledda, M.; Liboff, A.R. Bioelectromagnetic medicine: The role of resonance signaling. *Electromagn Biol Med.* **2013**, 32(4): 484-499.  
DOI: 10.3109/15368378.2012.743908  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/15368378.2012.743908>

Foletti, A.; Ledda, M.; Piccirillo, S.; Grimaldi, S.; Lisi, A. Electromagnetic Information Delivery as a new tool in translational medicine. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* **2014**; 7(9): 2550-2556.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4211758/>

Foletti, A.; Ledda, M.; Grimaldi, S.; D'Emilia, E.; Giuliani, L.; Liboff, A.; Lisi, A. The trail from quantum electro dynamics to informative medicine. *Electromagn Biol Med.* **2015**, 34(2): 147-150. doi: 10.3109/15368378.2015.1036073.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26098527>

Heredia-Rojas JA, Villarreal-Treviño L, Rodríguez-De la Fuente AP, et al. ANTIMICROBIAL EFFECT OF VANCOMYCIN ELECTRO-TRANSFERRED WATER AGAINST METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS VARIANT. *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med.* 2015; 12(1):104-108

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v12i1.15>

Heredia-Rojas JA, Torres-Flores AC, Rodríguez-De la Fuente A.O, et al.  
Entamoeba histolytica and Trichomonas vaginalis: Trophozoite growth inhibition  
by metronidazole electrotransferred water. *Exp. Parasitol.* 2011; 127: 80-83.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20603119>

Heredia-Rojas JA, Gomez-Flores R, Rodríguez-De la Fuente AO, et al.  
Antimicrobial effect of amphotericin B electronically-activated water against  
Candida albicans. *Af. J. Microbiol. Res.* 2012; 6(15):3684-3689.  
[http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article1380805042\\_Heredia-Rojas et al.pdf](http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article1380805042_Heredia-Rojas%20et%20al.pdf)

Hume, E. (2011) *Bechamp or Pasteur*. Plasticine paperback, Australia.  
Plasticine.com

Lynes, B. (2004) *The Cancer Cure That Worked*. Marcus books.  
Queensville Ontario